

Bid Tabulation Outline and Information

In the email, you will find the excel file that is updated for each district and will be used for bid tabulation. If you are experiencing any questions with the appropriate procedure for completing the excel file, contact Brian DeBernardi at debernardi@lasalleschools.net or 815-200-2970. Each school in the consortium has its own bid tabulation page, and access to the individual pages can be located on the tabs at the bottom of the spreadsheet.

- 1) Routes that are identified with a lighter blue fill color are the routes requested for each district. All districts have routes outlined in blue, but some districts share routes, and therefore a separate rate for the shared route is requested. The districts will accept the lower of the rates, this is likely the shared route rate.

- 2) Shared routes are identified with a yellowish fill color. Not all districts have shared routes. As an example, Dimmick has a total of four (4) regular routes for the district. These routes are highlighted in light blue fill. In reality, Dimmick shares two (2) of the four (4) routes with L-P. The two regular routes (unshared) and the two shared routes to total four (4) are highlighted in yellowish fill. The proposer can cross reference the shared routes on L-P's page. The shared route rates should be distributed equally across the sharing districts.

- 3) A list of the district's bus and shared routes are identified below. Please reference the bid tabulation page for further details:
 - a) LaSalle-Peru
 - i) 17 buses
 - (1) 9 unshared routes
 - (2) 2 shared routes with Dimmick
 - (3) 2 shared routes with Oglesby
 - (4) 3 shared routes with Peru
 - (5) 1 shared route with Oglesby, LaSalle (Sped<350)

 - b) LaSalle
 - i) 4 buses
 - (1) 3 unshared routes
 - (2) 1 shared route with L-P, Oglesby (SPED <350)

 - c) Peru
 - i) 9 buses
 - (1) 6 unshared routes
 - (2) 3 shared with L-P

 - d) Dimmick
 - i) 4 buses
 - (1) 2 unshared routes
 - (2) 2 shared with L-P

 - e) Oglesby
 - i) 6 busses

- (1) 3 unshared routes
- (2) 2 shared routes with L-P
- (3) 1 shared route with L-P, LaSalle (SPED <350)
- f) Waltham -
 - i) 4 buses
 - (1) no shared routes
- g) Tonica -
 - i) 2 buses
 - (1) no shared routes
- h) Deer Park -
 - i) 2 buses
 - (1) no shared routes
- i) Ladd -
 - i) 2 buses
 - (1) no shared routes
- j) Lostant -
 - i) 1 bus, no shared routes
- k) Putnam County -
 - i) 11 buses, no shared routes
- l) Hall
 - i) 5 buses
 - (1) 4 shared with Spring Valley
 - (2) 1 shared with Depue (ACC)
- m) Spring Valley
 - i) 6 buses
 - (1) 2 unshared routes
 - (2) 4 shared routes with Hall
- n) DePue
 - i) 3 buses
 - (1) 2 unshared routes
 - (2) 1 shared route with DePue (ACC)

4) Accounting for the use of shared routes, the tabulation utilizes 62 buses of varying size and usage. This number does not calculate the number of buses the proposers are estimating to have in reserve.

5) In response to alternate proposals at the prebid meeting, the consortium will consider efforts on the proposers behalf to save school district's financial resources by creating opportunities to further share routes.